Claims 12, 25, 26, 30, 32, 33 and 35 have been canceled by this response and replaced with new claims 46-60. New claims 46-60 have been drafted based upon the claims previously present in this application taking the Examiner's comments into consideration. In view of the cancellation of claims 12, 25, 26, 30, 32, 33 and 35 it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

# Rejection of Claims 27, 29, 31, 37 and 39 under 35 USC 102(a)

Claims 27, 29, 31, 37 and 39 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Barre '889.

Claims 27, 29, 31, 37 and 39 have been canceled by this response. These claims have been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claims 27, 29, 31, 37 and 39 it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

# Rejection of Claims 27, 34, and 36 under 35 USC 102(b)

Claims 27, 34 and 36 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zandberg et al. '574.

Claims 27, 34 and 36 have been canceled by this response. These claims have been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claims 27, 34 and 36 it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.



### Rejection of Claims 28 and 38 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 28 and 38 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barre '889 in view of Vandeventer '275.

Claims 28 and 38 have been canceled by this response. These claims have been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claims 28 and 38 it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.

# Rejection of Claims 30, 32 and 40 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 30, 32 and 40 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barre '889 in view of Atkens et al.

Claims 30, 32 and 40 have been canceled by this response. These claims have been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claims 30, 32 and 40 it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.

### Rejection of Claims 41 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claim 41 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barre '889 in view of Zandberg '574

Claim 41 has been canceled by this response. This claim has been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claim 41, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.



### Rejection of Claim 43 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claim 43 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barre '889 in view of Vandeventer '275 and and further in view of Zandberg '574.

Claim 43 has been canceled by this response. This claim has been replaced by new claims 46-60. In view of the cancellation of claim 43, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.

In view of the limitations present in the new claims, it is respectfully submitted that taken alone or in combination will not make the present invention unpatentable. It is respectfully submitted that this rejection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

New claims 46-60 have been added to this application. These claims include limitations directed to the distinguishing features, such as the combination of the handle, stand, cover and base to complete an image of an animate object, and the connection between the handle and stand to retain the toothbrush in an upright vertical position. It is respectfully submitted that these claims are in condition for allowance.

Should the examiner have any questions, comments, or feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance and issuance, she is cordially invited to contact Mr. David Catanzaro, Inventor/Applicant at 626 Penn Saconave. Mayfield, PA 18433, telephone number (717) 876-1009, so that the present application can receive an early notice of allowance.

Dated: 2/26/99

7

Respectfully submitted,

y Savel alarmy David Catanzard, Applicant